

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2011-02-25
2. **Agency:** 026
3. **Bureau:** 00
4. **Name of this Investment:** NASA Earth Observing System Data Information System (EOSDIS)
5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 026-00-01-04-01-1501-00
6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Operations and Maintenance
  - Planning
  - Full Acquisition
  - Operations and Maintenance
  - Mixed Life Cycle
  - Multi-Agency Collaboration
7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2001 or earlier
8.
  - a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

NASA Earth Observing System Data and Information System (EOSDIS) is a highly specialized, distributed system of systems designed to support NASA's Earth Science research community. It also provides complementary, near real-time science data for operational use by other agencies. EOSDIS processes, archives, and distributes Earth science data from NASA missions. Data are processed at near real-time rates or faster to support NASA's field campaigns that require processed scientific products in near real-time to coincide with the measurements of field-deployed assets; support for benchmarking near real-time applications with operational agencies such as NOAA (weather models), DoD (field conditions), and DoI (forest fire information); support for processing into higher level, discipline unique scientific products and archived for future use without building a processing backlog. Unique scientific products generated by EOSDIS need to be periodically reprocessed due to changes in instrument characteristics and improvements to scientific algorithms. This involves reprocessing the entire missions' data within short periods of time, requiring systems that must operate many times faster than real-time rates. This system of systems is distributed throughout the US, providing discipline unique tools, search capabilities and sub-setting capabilities built around the specific science, e.g., land processes, snow and ice, atmospheric composition, physical oceanography and geodesy. The majority of the EOSDIS software is custom code, utilizing unique algorithms to accommodate the different instrumentation and science disciplines. The unique nature of the scientific applications as well as the high-speed capabilities needed to manage the processes involved in automatically generating the scientific products ensures that they can be instantaneously searched and accessed in order to distribute them to a broad, multidisciplinary user community on a daily basis. EOSDIS' expected accomplishments in FY11 and FY12 EOSDIS are to collect data from the current missions, process all data at keep-up rates, and distribute science data and science data products to research and applications users. EOSDIS is in its operational phase supporting all EOS missions. At the end of FY10, EOSDIS archives held 4.5 petabytes of data, growing at ~1 terabyte per day and supporting distribution to users at ~10 terabytes/day. EOSDIS distributed over 400 million products (data files) to users in FY10.
  - b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the**

investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

Title	Link
NONE	

9.

a. Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.

2010-09-02

b. Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter. 1989-06-05

10. Contact information?

a. Program/Project Manager Name: \*

Phone Number: \*

Email: \*

b. Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner): Martha Maiden

Phone Number: \*

Email: \*

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

## Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding

(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

**Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding**  
**(In millions of dollars)**

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 20

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: \*

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

\*

## Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded		<a href="#">NNG10HP02C</a>			*	*		Cost Plus Award Fee	Y	2010-04-01	2015-03-31	Y	EOSDIS Evolution and Development (EED) contract In the performance of this contract, the EED contractor is required to coordinate and integrate task related activities with the EOSDIS Project, the Distributed Active Archive Centers (DAACs), other Earth science data centers, the science investigator teams, the user community,

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
													as well as other EOS contractors. The contractor's overall goal shall be to continuously improve the reliability, availability, functionality, operability, and performance of hardware and software systems within the EOSDIS while reducing operational and
Awarded		<a href="#">NNG08HZ04C</a>			*	*		Cost No Fee	N	2008-04-01	2013-03-31	N	Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) Distributed Active Archive

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
													Center (ASF DAAC) Follow-on Contract with the University of Alaska, Fairbanks

Awarded

[NNG08HZ07C](#)

\*

\*

Cost No Fee

Y

2008-06-01

2013-05-31

N

The contractor shall support the NASA snow and ice research and user community by providing cryospheric data and information services, assisting in locating and manipulating related data not archived at the DAAC, and serving as a point of contact for the development and implementation of new

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded		<a href="#">NNG08HZ11C</a>		NNG082164 18R	*	*		Cost No Fee	N	2008-08-01	2013-07-31	N	geophysical algorithms to derive standard snow and ice products.  The Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC) contractor shall manage the information and data collection of the socioeconomic data and applications DAAC. The contractor shall conduct software and system development activities necessary to support the socioeconomic data and applications



Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
													DAAC's capabilities.

Awarded		<a href="#">NNG04HZ08C</a>			*	*		Cost Plus Fixed Fee	Y	2004-06-01	2011-09-30	N	MOPPITT SIPS - THIS PROCUREMENT IS FOR UNIVERSITY CORPORATION FOR ATMOSPHERIC RES.(UCAR) TO ENSURE THE PROCESSING
---------	--	----------------------------	--	--	---	---	--	---------------------	---	------------	------------	---	---

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

\*

3.

- Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow \*
- Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 \*
- Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements \*
- If "yes," enter the date of approval? \*
- Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? \*
- Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? \*
- If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

\*



## Part II: IT Capital Investments

### Section A: General

1.
  - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
  - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. cloud under evaluation by investment. jpl science center evaluating on-demand processing of eosdis gridded data on a cloud 07/2010 – 07/2011 to examine the potential value of performing some of the eosdis functions in a cloud computing environment.
3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2009-03-06
4.
  - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
  - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2005-11-03
6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-07-13

## Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Version 0 EOSDIS	DME	*	\$89.3	\$80.8	1990-10-01	1990-10-01	1994-08-15	1994-08-15	100.00%	100.00%
TRMM Unique System	DME	*	\$185.8	\$183.5	1990-10-01	1990-10-01	1997-09-30	1997-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
EOSDIS Version 2.0 (LandSat-7 & early orbit)	DME	*	\$250.2	\$248.1	1990-10-01	1990-10-01	1999-03-01	1999-03-01	100.00%	100.00%
EOSDIS Version 2 (Terra early orbit support)	DME	*	\$621.7	\$621.6	1991-10-01	1991-10-01	1999-07-01	1999-07-01	100.00%	100.00%
EOSDIS Version (full support for Terra and Landsat-7)	DME	*	\$61.3	\$62.7	1998-10-01	1998-10-01	1999-12-31	1999-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
EOSDIS Version 3 (full support for Aqua, ICESAT)	DME	*	\$220.4	\$222.2	2000-01-01	2000-01-01	2000-12-31	2000-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
EOSDIS Version 4 (full support for Aura, SORCE)	DME	*	\$53.8	\$52.6	2000-10-01	2000-10-01	2002-09-30	2002-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Continuing DAAC Operations	SS	*	\$500.8	\$501.6	1994-10-01	1994-10-01	2003-09-30	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Continuing EOS Operations	SS	*	\$575.9	\$571.0	1998-10-01	1998-10-01	2003-09-30	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Getting Ready for Aura	DME	*	\$33.3	\$20.6	2002-10-01	2002-10-01	2004-03-31	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Continuing Science and Mission Operations	SS	*	\$278.4	\$314.1	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
EOS Clearing House (ECHO) Release 6	DME	*	\$3.6	\$3.6	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$171.4	\$96.4	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
EOS Clearing HOUse (ECHO) Release 7	DME	*	\$6.8	\$6.8	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop MODAPS LAADS System	DME	*	\$2.3	\$2.3	2006-01-01	2006-01-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
ECS rearchitecting: Transition Sybase to Linux	DME	*	\$7.0	\$7.0	2006-01-01	2006-01-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop and test new archive/information system at GDAAC	DME	*	\$4.0	\$4.0	2006-01-01	2006-01-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop and test new archive/information system at ASDC	DME	*	\$2.3	\$2.3	2006-01-01	2006-01-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY06 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$124.5	\$129.2	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
EOS Clearing HOUse (ECHO) Release 8/9	DME	*	\$4.1	\$4.1	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
ECS rearchitecting: Migrate from AMASS to StorNext Storage Manager	DME	*	\$8.0	\$8.0	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Implement and Transition to new archive/information	DME	*	\$6.9	\$6.9	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
n system at GDAAC										
Implement and Transition to new archive/information system at ASDC	DME	*	\$2.5	\$2.5	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Operate and assess MODAPS LAADS	DME	*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY07 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$118.9	\$119.4	2006-10-01	2006-10-01	2007-09-30	2007-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Reduce operations at ASDC using the new hardware/software	DME	*	\$1.0	\$1.0	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop/Implement and Transition to new science data processing system (CERES & MISR)	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2007-05-01	2007-05-01	2008-04-30	2008-04-30	100.00%	100.00%
ECS rearchitecting: Deliver Release 7.21 (all code on Linux baseline; simplification/reduction in source code)	DME	*	\$3.0	\$3.0	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-05-31	2008-05-31	100.00%	100.00%
Reduce operations at GDAAC to a single system using the new hardware/software	DME	*	\$2.5	\$2.5	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
e										
EOS Clearing House (ECHO) Release 10	SS	*	\$5.9	\$5.9	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY08 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$109.9	\$119.8	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY09 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$121.0	\$118.5	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY10 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$112.8	\$118.1	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY11 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	\$114.1	\$51.5	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		50.00%	50.00%
FY12 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
FY13 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
FY14 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-30	*	*	*
FY15 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
FY16 Continuing Science Operations	SS	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*

2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion.

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.

2010-07-31

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?

yes



Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

## Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Processes and Activities	Timeliness	Average time to respond to users	annual	Time in days	Decrease	Average time to respond to users	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	1 day response time	1 day or less response time	Met	2010-09-17
			2010	1 day response time	Average time it takes to respond to users in FY2010 is one day when manual intervention is involved. However, most of the EOSDIS data are directly accessible on line, and the response to users occurs within a few minutes.	Met	2010-09-17
			2011	1 day response time	Average time it takes to respond to users in FY2011 is TBD		2010-09-17
			2012	1 day response time	Average time it takes to respond to users in FY2012 is TBD		2010-09-17
Technology	Overall Costs	Cost per Earth Science data product (in the form of computer files) distributed to users	annual	Dollar per product	Decrease	The cost per product of the previous Fiscal Year	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	\$0.82 per product distributed	\$0.47 per product distributed	Met	2010-09-17
			2010	\$0.47 per product	\$0.29 per product	Met	2011-02-23

Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	EOSDIS average American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) score		distributed	distributed		
			2011	\$TBD per product distributed	\$TBD per product distributed		2010-09-17
			2012	\$TBD per product distributed	\$TBD per product distributed		2010-09-17
			annual	Index	Increase	Federal Government Average score for American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI)	2010-12-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Federal Government Average ACSI score of 69	EOSDIS ACSI score of 77	Met	2010-09-17
			2010	Federal Government Average ACSI score of 69	EOSDIS ACSI score of 77	Met	2011-02-23
			2011	Federal Government Average ACSI score of TBD	EOSDIS ACSI score of TBD		2010-09-17
			2012	Federal Government Average ACSI score of TBD	EOSDIS ACSI score of TBD		2010-09-17
			annual	Product counts	Increase	The number of products distributed in the previous Fiscal Year	2009-10-01
Mission and Business Results	Scientific and Technological Research and Innovation	Number of products distributed	Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	115 Million products distributed in FY2008	250 Million products distributed	Met	2010-09-17
			2010	250 Million products distributed in FY2009	412 M products distributed	Met	2011-02-23
			2011	TBD Million products distributed in FY2010	TBD Million products distributed		2010-09-17

2012	TBD Million products distributed in FY2011	TBD Million products distributed		2010-09-17
------	--	----------------------------------	--	------------

\* - Indicates data is redacted.